arXiv:1604.02622v2 [math.NT] 9 Dec 2016

Variations on a Lemma of Nicolas and Serre

Paul Monsky

Brandeis University, Waltham MA 02454-9110, USA. monsky@brandeis.edu

Abstract

The "Nicolas-Serre code", $(a,b) \leftrightarrow t^n$, is a bijection between $N \times N$ and those t^n, n odd, in $Z/2[t]$. Suppose A_n, n odd, in $Z/2[t]$ are defined by: $A_1 = A_5 = 0$, $A_3 = t$, $A_7 = t^5$, and $A_{n+8} = t^8 A_n + t^2 A_{n+2}$. A lemma, Proposition 4.3 of [6], used to study the Hecke algebra attached to the space of mod 2 level 1 modular forms, gives information about the codes (a,b) attached to the monomials appearing in A_n. The unpublished highly technical proof has been simplified by Gerbelli-Gauthier.

Our Theorem 3.7 generalizes Proposition 4.3. The proof, in sections 1–3, is a further simplification of Gerbelli-Gauthier's argument. We build up to the theorem with variants involving the same recurrence, but having different sorts of initial conditions.

Section 4 treats the recurrence $A_{n+16} = t^{16}A_n + t^4 A_{n+4} + t^2 A_{n+2}$. Theorem 4.1, the analog to Theorem 3.7 for this recurrence, is used in [2] and [3] to analyze level 3 Hecke algebras.

Finally we introduce a variant code, $(a,b) \leftrightarrow w^n$ which is a bijection between $N \times N$ and those w^n, $n \equiv 1,3,7,9 \bmod 20$, in $Z/2[w]$. We then study the recurrence $A_{n+80} = w^{80}A_n + w^{20}A_{n+20}$, $n \equiv 1,3,7,9 \bmod 20$, with appropriate initial conditions. Lemma 5.5, derived from the results of sections 1–3, is the precise analog of Proposition 4.3 for this code, this recurrence, and these initial conditions. It is used in [4] and [5] to analyze level 5 Hecke algebras.

1 Introduction

Let r be a power of 2. Suppose that for each odd $n > 0$ we have an A_n in $tZ/2[t^2]$, and that these satisfy

$$(\star) \qquad A_{n+8r} = t^{8r}A_n + t^{2r}A_{n+2r}.$$

Recursions of this sort arise in the study of the action of the Hecke operator T_3 on certain spaces of mod 2 modular forms. Results about the exponents appearing in A_n (and in the A_n satisfying similar recursions) have been used

by Nicolas and Serre [6] to understand the Hecke algebra attached to the space of mod 2 modular forms of level 1. These questions are often difficult (Nicolas and Serre have a proof about a recursion attached to T_5 that seems quite inscrutable). The questions I have in mind involve the "Nicolas-Serre code", and the proofs rely on the easily proved but central:

Observation 1.1 *If the A_n satisfy $(\star)$ for r, then they also satisfy $(\star)$ when r is replaced by any larger power of 2.*

Proposition 4.3 of [6] is the special case of $(\star)$ with $r = 1$, $A_1 = 0$, $A_3 = t$, $A_5 = 0$, $A_7 = t^5$. Nicolas and Serre's highly technical treatment of it was greatly simplified by Gerbelli-Gauthier [1]. In this note I use Gerbelli-Gauthier's technique (with some further simplifications) to treat various other initial values for the A_n. In each case I get a result concerning the "dominant term" appearing in the Nicolas-Serre code for A_n.

Theorem 3.1, stated at the end of this section and proved in section 3 is a bit simpler than Proposition 4.3, and its proof nicely illustrates the general ideas. Three variants, Theorems 3.3, 3.5 and 3.7, follow. The last of these has (the algebraic form of) Proposition 4.3 as a corollary.

When one tries to treat modular forms of level $\Gamma_0(3)$ similarly, one must deal with the fact that the recursion attached to the Hecke operator U_3 is nothing like $(\star)$. (But this recursion also leads to results of interest—see [3].) Instead one may use a recursion attached to T_7:

$$(\star\star) \qquad A_{n+16r} = t^{16r}A_n + t^{4r}A_{n+4r} + t^{2r}A_{n+2r}.$$

Under suitable initial conditions there is an analog to Proposition 4.3 for $(\star\star)$. I'll prove such a result, Corollary 4.2, in section 4. Applications to Hecke algebras appear in [2] and [3]. The arguments are motivated once again by Gerbelli-Gauthier's technique; I'm grateful to her for her ideas. In the final sections I define a variant of the Nicolas-Serre code, and prove one more Proposition 4.3 analog, using the corollaries to Theorems 3.1, 3.3, 3.5 and 3.7. This result, Lemma 5.5, has the same role in treating level $\Gamma_0(5)$ as Corollary 4.2 does in treating level $\Gamma_0(3)$; see [4] and [5].

We now introduce the Nicolas-Serre code in language that differs slightly from that of [6].

Definition 1.2 *$g : N \to N$ is the function with $g(2n) = 4g(n)$, $g(2n+1) = g(2n) + 1$.*

Since $g(0) = 4g(0)$, $g(0) = 0$, and the functional equations above give all the $g(n)$. One sees immediately that if n is a sum of distinct q, with each q a power of 2, then $g(n)$ is the sum of the q^2. It follows that each n in N can be uniquely written as $g(a) + 2g(b)$ for some a and b in N. So if we let $[a, b]$ be

the monomial t^n, where $n = 1+2g(a)+4g(b)$, then $[a,b] \to [a,b]$ maps $N \times N$ $1-1$ onto the monomials t^k, k odd. It follows that:

$$[0,0],[1,0],[0,1],[2,0],[1,1],[0,2],[3,0],[2,1],[1,2],[0,3],\ldots$$

is a list of all such monomials.

Definition 1.3 *t^n "precedes" or "is earlier than" t^m if it appears before it in the above list. In other words to say that $[c,d]$ precedes $[a,b]$ is to say that $c+d \leq a+b$, and that, in case of equality, $d < b$.*

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose A_n in $tZ/2[t^2]$ satisfy $(\star)$ of our first paragraph for some r. Suppose further that the following condition (1γ) holds when $n < 8r$:*

(1γ) *A_n is a sum of monomials preceding t^n.*

Then (1γ) holds for all n.

Remark 1.4 *Each of $8r, 16r, 32r, \ldots$ can be written as $8q^2$ or $16q^2$ for some q which is a power of 2. So Theorem 3.1 is a consequence of the following:*

Suppose q is a power of 2 and that A_n, $n > 0$ and odd, are in $tZ/2[t^2]$. Then:

(1) If the A_n satisfy $(\star)$ for some r dividing q^2 (and therefore for $r = q^2$ by Observation 1.1), and (1γ) holds when $n < 8q^2$, then it holds when $n < 16q^2$.
(2) If the A_n satisfy $(\star)$ for some r dividing $2q^2$, and (1γ) holds when $n < 16q^2$, then it holds when $n < 32q^2$.

I'll say now a few words about the proof of (1). We argue by induction on n. So suppose k is in $(8q^2, 16q^2)$—we want to show that A_k is a sum of monomials preceding t^k. Let $n = k - 8q^2$ and write t^n as $[a,b]$. Then $1+2g(a)+4g(b) = n < 8q^2$, and it follows that $a < 2q$. So $g(a+2q) = g(a)+4q^2$, and replacing a by $a+2q$ in $1+2g(a)+4g(b)$ increases it by $8q^2$. So $t^k = t^{8q^2}[a,b] = [a+2q,b]$, and we want to show that A_k is a sum of monomials preceding $[a+2q,b]$. Since $(\star)$ holds for $r = q^2$, $A_k = t^{8q^2}A_n + t^{2q^2}A_{n+2q^2}$, and it's enough to show that $t^{8q^2}A_n$ and $t^{2q^2}A_{n+2q^2}$ are such monomial sums. The simple machinery used to prove that this is true is developed in the next section.

Next I turn to (2). Again we argue by induction on n. So suppose k is in $(16q^2, 32q^2)$—we want to show that A_k is a sum of monomials preceding t^k. Let $n = k-16q^2$, and write t^n as $[a,b]$. Then $1+2g(a)+4g(b) = n < 16q^2$, and $b < 2q$. Then $g(b+2q) = g(b)+4q^2$, and replacing b by $b+2q$ in $1+2g(a)+4g(b)$ results in an increase of $16q^2$. So $t^k = t^{16q^2}[a,b] = [a,b+2q]$, and we want to show that A_k is a sum of monomials preceding $[a,b+2q]$. Since $(\star)$ holds for $r = 2q^2$, $A_k = t^{16q^2}A_n + t^{4q^2}A_{n+4q^2}$, and it's enough to show that $t^{16q^2}A_n$

and $t^{4q^2}A_{n+4q^2}$ are such monomial sums. Again, the next section furnishes the machinery that's needed.

2 The effect of multiplication by t^{2q^2} and t^{4q^2}

From now on, q is a power of 2. We start with an easy result.

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose* $\left\lfloor \frac{a}{q} \right\rfloor$ *is even. Then* $t^{2q^2}[a,b] = [a+q,b]$. *Suppose* $\left\lfloor \frac{b}{q} \right\rfloor$ *is even. Then* $t^{4q^2}[a,b] = [a,b+q]$.

Proof If $\left\lfloor \frac{a}{q} \right\rfloor$ is even, q does not occur when a is written as a sum of distinct powers of 2. So $g(a+q) = g(a)+q^2$, and replacing a by $a+q$ in $1+2g(a)+4g(b)$ results in an increase of $2q^2$. The second result is proved similarly. □

We assume temporarily that $n = 1+2g(a)+4g(b)$ is 1 mod $2q^2$. This evidently holds if and only if q divides a and b. We shall study $t^{2q^2}[a,b]$ and $t^{4q^2}[a,b]$ under these assumptions, showing the following: If $\frac{a}{q}$ is odd then $t^{2q^2}[a,b]$ is earlier than $[a+q,b]$. If $\frac{b}{q}$ is odd then $t^{4q^2}[a,b]$ is earlier than $[a,b+q]$.

Definition 2.2 *Suppose* i *and* j *are each in* $\{0,q\}$. *Then* $S_{i,j}$ *takes* $[a,b]$ *to* $[2a+i,2b+j]$.

Lemma 2.3 *If* $[a,b] = t^n$, $S_{0,0}$, $S_{q,0}$, $S_{0,q}$ *and* $S_{q,q}$ *take* $[a,b]$ *to* t^{4n-3}, $t^{(4n-3)+2q^2}$, $t^{(4n-3)+4q^2}$ *and* $t^{(4n-3)+6q^2}$.

Proof This follows from the fact that $g(2a) = 4g(a)$, $g(2a+q) = 4g(a)+q^2$, $g(2b) = 4g(b)$, $g(2b+q) = 4g(b)+q^2$. □

Note that if $[a,b]$ precedes $[c,d]$ then $S_{i,j}[a,b]$ precedes $S_{i,j}[c,d]$; this is key to what follows.

Lemma 2.4

(1) $t^{4q^2} \circ S_{0,q} = S_{0,0} \circ t^{2q^2} = t^{2q^2} \circ S_{q,q}$.
(2) $t^{4q^2} \circ S_{q,q} = S_{q,0} \circ t^{2q^2}$.

(Here t^{4q^2} *and* t^{2q^2} *denote the operations of multiplication by* t^{4q^2} *and* t^{2q^2}*.)*

Proof Applying the operators of Lemma 2.4 to t^n, we see from Lemma 2.3 that all the operators in (1) give $t^{(4n-3)+8q^2}$, while those in (2) give $t^{(4n-3)+10q^2}$. □

Lemma 2.5 *If* $\frac{a}{q}$ *is odd,* $t^{2q^2}[a,b]$ *precedes* $[a+q,b]$.

Proof We argue by induction on $a+b$. If $\frac{b}{q}$ is even, $g(a-q) = g(a)-q^2$, $g(b+q) = g(b)+q^2$, and replacing a and b by $a-q$ and $b+q$ increases

$1 + 2g(a) + 4g(b)$ by $2q^2$. So $t^{2q^2}[a,b] = [a-q, b+q]$ which precedes $[a+q,b]$. Suppose finally that $\frac{a}{q}$ and $\frac{b}{q}$ are odd. Then $[a,b] = S_{q,q}[c,d]$ for some c and d, divisible by q, and $c+d < a+b$. By Lemma 2.4, $t^{2q^2}[a,b] = S_{0,0}t^{2q^2}[c,d]$. Theorem 2.1 and the induction show that $t^{2q^2}[c,d]$ equals or precedes $[c+q,d]$. So $t^{2q^2}[a,b]$ equals or precedes $[2c+2q, 2d] = [a+q, b-q]$, which precedes $[a+q,b]$. □

Lemma 2.6 *If $\frac{b}{q}$ is odd, $t^{4q^2}[a,b]$ precedes $[a,b+q]$.*

Proof If $\frac{a}{q}$ is even, then $[a,b] = S_{0,q}[c,d]$ for some c and d divisible by q. By Lemma 2.4, $t^{4q^2}[a,b] = S_{0,0}t^{2q^2}[c,d]$. By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.5, $t^{2q^2}[c,d]$ is $[c+q,d]$ or an earlier monomial. So $t^{4q^2}[a,b]$ equals or precedes $[2c+2q, 2d] = [a+2q, b-q]$, and this precedes $[a+q,b]$. If $\frac{a}{q}$ is odd, then $[a,b] = S_{q,q}[c,d]$ for some c and d divisible by q. By Lemma 2.4, $t^{4q^2}[a,b] = S_{q,0}t^{2q^2}[c,d]$. By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.5, $t^{2q^2}[c,d]$ is $[c+q,d]$ or an earlier monomial. So $t^{4q^2}[a,b]$ equals or precedes $[2c+3q, 2d] = [a+2q, b-q]$, and this precedes $[a,b+q]$. □

We now drop the assumption that q divides a and b.

Theorem 2.7

(1) If $\left\lfloor \frac{a}{q} \right\rfloor$ is odd, $t^{2q^2}[a,b]$ precedes $[a+q,b]$.
(2) If $\left\lfloor \frac{b}{q} \right\rfloor$ is odd, $t^{4q^2}[a,b]$ precedes $[a,b+q]$.

Proof To prove (1), write a as a_1+a_2, b as b_1+b_2 where q divides a_1 and b_1, and both a_2 and b_2 are in $[0,q)$. By Lemma 2.5, $t^{2q^2}[a_1,b_1] = [c_1,d_1]$ where q divides c_1 and d_1, and $[c_1,d_1]$ precedes $[a_1+q,b_1]$. Now $g(a) = g(a_1) + g(a_2)$ while $g(b) = g(b_1)+g(b_2)$. If we let $c = c_1+a_2$, $d = d_1+b_2$, then $g(c) = g(c_1)+g(a_2)$, $g(d) = g(d_1) + g(b_2)$. It follows immediately that $t^{2q^2}[a,b] = [c,d]$. And since $[c_1,d_1]$ precedes $[a_1+q,b_1]$, $[c,d]$ precedes $[a+q,b]$. The proof of (2) is the same. □

Combining Theorems 2.1 and 2.7 we get:

Corollary 2.8 *$t^{2q^2}[a,b]$ is $[a+q,b]$ or an earlier monomial, while $t^{4q^2}[a,b]$ is $[a,b+q]$ or an earlier monomial.*

3 Theorems relating to the recursion ($\star$)

We now complete the proof, outlined at the end of section 1, of Theorem 3.1. As we indicated, the argument is a 2 stage one. In the first stage we assume that (1γ) holds for $n < 8q^2$, and argue inductively on k to show that (1γ) holds for k in $(8q^2, 16q^2)$. Recall that $n = k - 8q^2$, that $t^n = [a,b]$ and that

$t^k = [a+2q, b]$. As we've seen, it suffices to show that $t^{8q^2}A_n$ and $t^{2q^2}A_{n+2q^2}$ are each sums of monomials preceding $[a+2q, b]$. Now since $n < 8q^2$, (1γ) holds for n, and A_n is a sum of $[c, d]$ preceding $[a, b]$. By Corollary 2.8, with q replaced by $2q$, $t^{8q^2}[c, d]$ is $[c+2q, d]$ or an earlier monomial, and so precedes $[a+2q, b]$. Also, $t^{n+2q^2} = t^{2q^2}[a, b]$ which, by Corollary 2.8, is $[a+q, b]$ or an earlier monomial. Since $n+2q^2 < k$, (1γ) holds for $n+2q^2$, and A_{n+2q^2} is a sum of $[c, d]$ preceding $[a+q, b]$. Corollary 2.8 shows that $t^{2q^2}[c, d]$ is $[c+q, d]$ or an earlier monomial. Then $[c+q, d]$ precedes $[a+2q, b]$, and we're done. Turning to stage 2 we assume that (1γ) holds for $n < 16q^2$, and argue inductively on k to show that (1γ) holds for k in $(16q^2, 32q^2)$. Now $n = k - 16q^2$, $t^n = [a, b]$ and $t^k = [a, b+2q]$ It sufices to show that $t^{16q^2}A_n$ and $t^{4q^2}A_{n+4q^2}$ are sums of monomials preceding $[a, b+2q]$, and we follow the argument of stage 1, once again using Corollary 2.8 repeatedly. We have proved:

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose A_n, n odd and > 0, are in $tZ/2[t^2]$ and satisfy the recursion $A_{n+8r} = t^{8r}A_n + t^{2r}A_{n+2r}$ for some r which is a power of 2. If A_n is a sum of monomials preceding t^n whenever $n < 8r^2$, then for all n, A_n is such a sum.*

Corollary 3.2 *Let γ_n be defined by $\gamma_{n+8} = t^8\gamma_n + t^2\gamma_{n+2}$, $\gamma_1 = 0$, $\gamma_3 = 0$, $\gamma_5 = t^3$, $\gamma_7 = t^5$. Then, for all n, γ_n is a sum of monomials preceding t^n.*

For t^3, t^5 and t^7 are $[1, 0]$, $[0, 1]$ and $[1, 1]$, so the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 apply.

We now introduce 3 variants, (1β), (1δ) and (1α) of (1γ), and derive parallel results for them.

- (1β) A_n is t^n + a sum of earlier monomials.
- (1δ) Suppose $t^n = [a, b]$. If $a > 0$, A_n is a sum of monomials preceding $[a-1, b]$. If $a = 0$, A_n is a sum of monomials equal to or preceding $[0, b-1]$.
- (1α) Suppose $t^n = [a, b]$. If $a > 0$, $A_n = [a-1, b]+$ a sum of earlier monomials. If $a = 0$, A_n is a sum of monomials equal to or preceding $[0, b-1]$.

Theorem 3.3 *Suppose A_n are as in Theorem 3.1. If A_n satisfies (1β) whenever $n < 8r^2$, every A_n satisfies (1β).*

Corollary 3.4 *Let β_n be defined by $\beta_{n+8} = t^8\beta_n + t^2\beta_{n+2}$, $\beta_1 = t$, $\beta_3 = t^3$, $\beta_5 = t^5$, $\beta_7 = t^7 + t^3$. Then, for all n, β_n is t^n + a sum of earlier monomials.*

The proof of Theorem 3.3 uses the same 2 step process. Suppose we're in the first stage and k is in $(8r^2, 16r^2)$. Again, $n = k - 8q^2$, $t^n = [a, b]$ and $t^k = [a+2q, b]$. Also $A_k = t^{8q^2}A_n + t^{2q^2}A_{n+2q^2}$. So it suffices to show that $t^{8q^2}A_n$ is $[a+2q, b]$ + a sum of earlier monomials, while $t^{2q^2}A_{n+2q^2}$ is a sum of monomials preceding $[a+2q, b]$. The first of these is easy—A_n is $[a, b]$ + a sum of earlier monomials $[c, d]$, $t^{8q^2}[a, b] = [a+2q, b]$ and $t^{8q^2}[c, d] = [c+2q, d]$, which precedes $[a+2q, b]$. For the second we use Corollary 2.8 to see that t^{n+2q^2}

is $[a+q,b]$ or an earlier monomial. The induction then shows that A_{n+2q^2} is either a sum of $[c,d]$ preceding $[a+q,b]$ or $[a+q,b]+$ such a sum. In the first case each $t^{2q^2}A_{n+2q^2}$ is $[c+q,d]$ or an earlier monomial and so precedes $[a+2q,b]$. Now in the second case we must have $t^{2q^2}[a,b]=[a+q,b]$; Theorem 2.7 tells us that this only occurs when $\left\lfloor\frac{a}{q}\right\rfloor$ is even. But when this happens, $\left\lfloor\frac{a+q}{q}\right\rfloor$ is odd, so by Theorem 2.7, $t^{2q^2}[a+q,b]$ precedes $[a+2q,b]$. So in all cases $t^{2q^2}A_{n+2q^2}$ is a sum of the desired sort. The argument in the second stage is almost identical. Now $n=k-16q^2$, $t^n=[a,b]$ and $t^k=[a,b+2q]$. Also $A_k=t^{16q^2}A_n+t^{4q^2}A_{n+4q^2}$. So it suffices to show that $t^{16q^2}A_n$ is $[a,b+2q]$ + a sum of earlier monomials, and that $t^{4q^2}A_{n+4q^2}$ is a sum of monomials preceding $[a,b+2q]$. The argument to establish these facts is the same as that used in stage 1, again employing Corollary 2.8 and Theorem 2.7.

Theorem 3.5 *Suppose A_n are as in Theorem 3.1. If A_n satisfies (1δ) whenever $n<8r^2$, every A_n satisfies (1δ).*

Corollary 3.6 *Let δ_n be defined by $\delta_{n+8}=t^8\delta_n+t^2\delta_{n+2}$, $\delta_1=\delta_3=\delta_5=0$, $\delta_7=t^3$. Then if $t^n=[a,b]$, $a>0$, δ_n is a sum of monomials preceding $[a-1,b]$. And if $t^n=[0,b]$, δ_n is a sum of monomials equal to or preceding $[0,b-1]$.*

We use the 2 stage process to prove Theorem 3.5. Suppose we're in the first stage. Again, k is in $(8q^2,16q^2)$, $n=k-8q^2$, $t^n=[a,b]$, and $t^k=[a+2q,b]$. When $a>0$ we argue exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Suppose $a=0$, so that $t^n=[0,b]$, and $t^k=[2q,b]$. It's enough to show that $t^{8q^2}A_n$ and $t^{2q^2}A_{n+2q^2}$ are each sums of monomials preceding $[2q-1,b]$. Since $n<8q^2$, A_n satisfies (1δ), and is a sum of $[c,d]$ equal to or preceding $[0,b-1]$. Furthermore, $t^{8q^2}[c,d]$ is $[c+2q,d]$ or an earlier monomial, and so is equal to or precedes $[2q,b-1]$ which in turn precedes $[2q-1,b]$. Also, $t^{n+2q^2}=[q,b]$. Since $n+2q^2<k$, A_{n+2q^2} satisfies (1δ), and is a sum of $[c,d]$ preceding $[q-1,b]$. Then $t^{2q^2}[c,d]$ is equal to or precedes $[c+q,d]$ and so precedes $[2q-1,b]$. We turn to stage 2. Now k is in $(16q^2,32q^2)$, $n=k-16q^2$, $t^n=[a,b]$, $t^k=[a,b+2q]$. When $a>0$, the argument is just as in Theorem 3.1. Suppose $a=0$, so that $t^n=[0,b]$, t^{n+4q^2} is $[0,b+q]$ or an earlier monomial, $t^k=[0,b+2q]$. It will suffice to show that $t^{16q^2}A_n$ and $t^{4q^2}A_{n+4q^2}$ are each sums of monomials equal to or preceding $[0,b+2q-1]$. Now since $n<16q^2$, A_n satisfies (1δ) and is a sum of $[c,d]$ equal to or preceding $[0,b-1]$. Then $t^{16q^2}[c,d]$ is $[c,d+2q]$ or an earlier monomial and so is equal to or precedes $[0,b+2q-1]$. Also $n+4q^2<k$, and our induction shows that A_{n+4q^2} is a sum of $[c,d]$ preceding $[0,b+q-1]$ (both when t^{4q^2} is $[0,b+q]$ and when it is earlier). Then $t^{4q^2}[c,d]$ is $[c,d+q]$ or an earlier monomial, and so precedes $[0,b+2q-1]$, completing the proof.

Theorem 3.7 *Suppose A_n are as in Theorem 3.1. If A_n satisfies (1α) whenever $n<8r^2$, every A_n satisfies (1α).*

Corollary 3.8 *(This is the purely formal algebraic part of Proposition 4.3 of*

[6].) Let α_n be defined by $\alpha_{n+8} = t^8\alpha_n + t^2\alpha_{n+2}$, $\alpha_1 = 0$, $\alpha_3 = t$, $\alpha_5 = 0$, $\alpha_7 = t^5$. Then if $t^n = [a, b]$ with $a > 0$, $\alpha_n = [a-1, b]$ + a sum of earlier monomials. And if $t^n = [0, b]$, α_n is a sum of monomials equal to or preceding $[0, b-1]$.

Again we employ the 2 stage process. In the first stage, k is in $(8q^2, 16q^2)$, $n = k - 8q^2$, $t^n = [a, b]$ and $t^k = [a + 2q, b]$. When $a > 0$ we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, showing that $t^{8q^2}A_n$ is $[a + 2q - 1, b]$ + a sum of earlier monomials, while $t^{2q^2}A_{n+2q^2}$ is a sum of monomials preceding $[a + 2q - 1, b]$. When $a = 0$, the situation is different. Since $t^n = [0, b]$, $t^{n+2q^2} = [q, b]$; see Theorem 2.1. Now $n + 2q^2 < k$, so by induction A_{n+2q^2} is $[q - 1, b]$ + a sum of earlier monomials $[c, d]$. By Theorem 2.1, $t^{2q^2}[q - 1, b] = [2q - 1, b]$, while $t^{2q^2}[c, d]$, by Corollary 2.8, is $[c + q, d]$ or an earlier monomial, and so precedes $[2q - 1, b]$. If remains to show that $t^{8q^2}A_n$ is a sum of monomials preceding $[2q - 1, b]$. Since $n < 8q^2$, A_n is a sum of $[c, d]$ equal to or preceding $[0, b - 1]$, and $t^{8q^2}[c, d]$ is $[c + 2q, d]$ or an earlier monomial and so is equal to or precedes $[2q, b - 1]$ which precedes $[2q - 1, b]$. In the second stage, k is in $(16q^2, 32q^2)$, $n = k - 16q^2$, $t^n = [a, b]$ and $t^k = [a, b + 2q]$. Now $A_k = t^{16q^2}A_n + t^{4q^2}A_{n+4q^2}$. When $a > 0$ we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, showing that $t^{16q^2}A_n$ is $[a - 1, b + 2q]$ + a sum of earlier monomials, while $t^{4q^2}A_{n+4q^2}$ is a sum of monomials preceding $[a - 1, b + 2q]$. Suppose finally that $a = 0$. Now t^k is $[0, b + 2q]$ and we need to show that A_k is a sum of monomials equal to or preceding $[0, b + 2q - 1]$. It's enough to prove this separately for $t^{16q^2}A_n$ and $t^{4q^2}A_{n+4q^2}$. Since $t^n = [0, b]$ and $n < 16q^2$, A_n is a sum of $[c, d]$, each of which is $[0, b - 1]$ or earlier. Then $t^{16q^2}[c, d]$ is $[c, d + 2q]$ or an earlier monomial, and so is equal to or precedes $[0, b + 2q - 1]$. And $t^{n+4q^2} = t^{4q^2}[0, b]$ is $[0, b + q]$ or an earlier monomial. Using the fact that $n + 4q^2 < k$, we conclude that A_{n+4q^2} is a sum of monomials equal to or preceding $[0, b + q - 1]$ (both when t^{n+4q^2} is $[0, b+q]$ and when it is earlier). Since $t^{4q^2}[c, d]$ is $[c, d+q]$ or an earlier monomial, and so is equal to or earlier than $[0, b + 2q - 1]$, we're done.

4 A theorem related to the recursion ($\star\star$)

In the introduction we described a recursion ($\star\star$) very much like ($\star$): $A_{n+16r} = t^{16r}A_n + t^{4r}A_{n+4r} + t^{2r}A_{n+2r}$, where r is once again a power of 2.

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose A_n, n odd and > 0, are in $tZ/2[t^2]$, and satisfy the above recursion. If the A_n satisfy condition (1α) of the last section whenever $n < 16r^2$, then they satisfy it for all n.*

Corollary 4.2 *Suppose A_n satisfy $A_{n+16} = t^{16}A_n + t^4A_{n+4} + t^2A_{n+2}$, and that A_1, A_3, A_5, A_7, A_9, A_{11}, A_{13}, A_{15} are 0, t, 0, t^5, t^3, $t^9 + t$, t^7 and $t^{13} + t^5$. Then if $t^n = [a, b]$ with $a > 0$, A_n is $[a - 1, b]$ + a sum of earlier monomials.*

And if $t^n = [0, b]$, A_n is a sum of monomials equal to or preceding $[0, b-1]$.

(Corollary 4.2 appears as Theorem 2.22 of [2], and plays an important part in establishing the results of that paper.)

We now give the proof of Theorem 4.1. Note first that if the A_n satisfy $(\star\star)$ for some r then they satisfy it for every larger power of 2. Also, each of $16r, 32r, 64r, \ldots$ is either $32q^2$ or $16q^2$ for some q which is a power of 2. So we can use the 2 stage argument of section 3.

In the first stage we know that (1α) holds for $n < 32q^2$, and want to treat k in $(32q^2, 64q^2)$. We set $n = k - 32q^2$, and let $t^n = [a, b]$. The argument made earlier with q replaced by $2q$ shows that $t^k = [a + 4q, b]$. Now $A_k = t^{32q^2}A_n + t^{8q^2}A_{n+8q^2} + t^{4q^2}A_{n+4q^2}$. The proof of Theorem 3.7 shows that the sum of the first 2 of these 3 terms is $[a+4q-1, b]$ + a sum of earlier monomials. It remains to show that $t^{4q^2}A_{n+4q^2}$ is a sum of monomials preceding $[a+4q-1, b]$. Now $t^{n+4q^2} = t^{4q^2}[a, b]$ is $[a, b+q]$ or an earlier monomial. Also, $n + 4q^2 < k$. So by induction, when $a > 0$, A_{n+4q^2} is a sum of $[c, d]$ equal to or preceding $[a-1, b+q]$, and when $a = 0$, it is a sum of $[c, d]$ equal to or preceding $[0, b+q-1]$. Then $t^{4q^2}[c, d]$ is $[c, d+q]$ or an earlier monomial, and so precedes $[a + 4q - 1, b]$.

In the second stage, we know that (1α) holds for $n < 16q^2$, and want to treat k in $(16q^2, 32q^2)$. We set $n = k-16q^2$, and let $t^n = [a, b]$. Then $t^k = [a, b+2q]$. Now $A_k = t^{16q^2}A_n + t^{4q^2}A_{n+4q^2} + t^{2q^2}A_{n+2q^2}$. The proof of Theorem 3.7 shows that $t^{16q^2}A_n + t^{4q^2}A_{n+4q^2}$ is a sum of the desired sort, and it remains to show that when $a > 0$, $t^{2q^2}A_{n+2q^2}$ is a sum of monomials preceding $[a-1, b+2q]$, while when $a = 0$, $t^{2q^2}A_{n+2q^2}$ is a sum of monomials preceding or equal to $[0, b+2q-1]$. Now $t^{n+2q^2} = t^{2q^2}[a, b]$ is $[a+q, b]$ or an earlier monomial. Since $n + 2q^2 < k$, A_{n+2q^2} is a sum of $[c, d]$ equal to or preceding $[a+q-1, b]$. Now $t^{2q^2}[c, d]$ is $[c+q, d]$ or an earlier monomial, and so is equal to or precedes $[a + 2q - 1, b]$. But when $a > 0$, $[a + 2q - 1, b]$ precedes $[a-1, b+2q]$, while when $a = 0$, $[2q-1, b]$ precedes $[0, b+2q-1]$. This completes the proof.

5 The polynomials P_n. Statement of Lemma 5.5

Recall that in Corollaries 3.8, 3.4, 3.2 and 3.6 we defined, for n odd and > 0, elements α_n, β_n, γ_n and δ_n of $V = tZ/2[t^2]$. Explicitly, these all satisfy $A_{n+8} = t^8A_n + t^2A_{n+2}$, and the initial conditions are:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_5, \alpha_7 & \text{are} & 0, t, 0, t^5 \\ \beta_1, \beta_3, \beta_5, \beta_7 & \text{are} & t, t^3, t^5, t^7 + t^3 \\ \gamma_1, \gamma_3, \gamma_5, \gamma_7 & \text{are} & 0, 0, t^3, t^5 \\ \delta_1, \delta_3, \delta_5, \delta_7 & \text{are} & 0, 0, 0, t^3 \end{array}$$

Now let w be an indeterminate over $Z/2$. If $k \equiv 1, 3, 7$ or $9 \bmod 20$, then $k = 10n-9, 10n-7, 10n-3$ or $10n-1$ for some odd n, and we define P_k in $Z/2[w]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{10n-9} &= w^{-3}\alpha_n(w^{10}) + w^{-7}\delta_n(w^{10}) \\ P_{10n-7} &= w^{-9}\beta_n(w^{10}) + w^{-1}\delta_n(w^{10}) \\ P_{10n-3} &= w^{-1}\alpha_n(w^{10}) + w^{-9}\gamma_n(w^{10}) \\ P_{10n-1} &= w^{-7}\beta_n(w^{10}) + w^{-3}\gamma_n(w^{10}) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.1 *$P_{k+80} = w^{80}P_k + w^{20}P_{k+20}$. Furthermore, P_1, P_3, P_7, P_9, P_{21}, P_{23}, P_{27}, P_{29}, P_{41}, P_{43}, P_{47}, P_{49}, P_{61}, P_{63}, P_{67}, P_{69} are:*

0, w, 0, w^3, w^7, w^{21}, w^9, w^{23}, 0, w^{41}, w^{21}, $w^{43}+w^{27}$, $w^{47}+w^{23}$, $w^{61}+w^{29}+w^{21}$, $w^{49}+w^{41}$ *and* $w^{63}+w^{47}+w^{23}$.

Remark 5.2 *If $V' \subset Z/2[w]$ is spanned by the w^k with $k \equiv 1, 3, 7$ or $9 \bmod 20$, then each P_k lies in V'.*

The recurrence satisfied by the P_k is an immediate consequence of the recurrence satisfied by the α_n, β_n, γ_n and δ_n. And the initial values are immediately verified.

I will prove a result analogous to that of Corollary 3.8 for the P_k. This involves putting a linear order on the monomials that lie in V'.

Definition 5.3 *$\varphi : V \to V'$ is the following $Z/2$-linear map:*

(a) φ takes t, t^3, t^5, t^9 to w, w^3, w^7, w^9.
(b) φ takes $t^7, t^{11}, t^{13}, t^{15}$ to $w^{21}, w^{27}, w^{23}, w^{29}$.
(c) $\varphi(t^{16}f) = w^{40}\varphi(f)$.

Evidently φ maps V bijectively to V'.

Definition 5.4 *If (a, b) lies in $N \times N$, then $\langle a, b\rangle$ in V' is the monomial $\varphi[a, b]$, where $[a, b]$ is $t^{1+2g(a)+4g(b)}$.*

The $\langle a, b\rangle$ run over all the monomials in w lying in V'. Just as we had a listing, $[0,0]$, $[1,0]$, $[0,1]$, $[2,0]$, $[1,1]$, $[0,2]$, ... of the monomials in V, we have a corresponding listing $\langle 0,0\rangle$, $\langle 1,0\rangle$, $\langle 0,1\rangle$, $\langle 2,0\rangle$, $\langle 1,1\rangle$, $\langle 0,2\rangle$, ... of the monomials in V'. We again use the language of "earlier monomial" or "preceding monomial".

The rest of this note is devoted to the following result, which is used to prove the essential Theorem 3.5 of [4]:

Lemma 5.5 *Let P_k in V', $k \equiv 1, 3, 7$ or $9 \bmod 20$ be as in Theorem 5.1. Suppose that $w^k = \langle a, b\rangle$. Then*

(1) If $a > 0$, $P_k = \langle a-1, b\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials.
(2) If $a = 0$, P_k is a sum of monomials preceding or equal to $\langle 0, b-1\rangle$.

6 The operators $s_{i,j}$

Lemma 6.1 *If $n \equiv 1$ (4), the φ of Definition 5.3 takes t^{4n-3}, t^{4n-1}, t^{4n+1} and t^{4n+5} to w^{10n-9}, w^{10n-7}, w^{10n-3}, w^{10n-1}.*

Proof When $n = 1$ this is Definition 5.3 (a). Replacing n by $n+4$ multiplies both $\varphi(t^{4n+c})$ and w^{10n+d} by w^{40}, giving the result. □

Lemma 6.2 *If $n \equiv 3$ (4), the φ of Definition 5.3 takes t^{4n-5}, t^{4n-1}, t^{4n+1} and t^{4n+3} to w^{10n-9}, w^{10n-3}, w^{10n-7}, w^{10n-1}.*

Proof For $n = 3$ this is Definition 5.3 (b), and we continue as above. □

Definition 6.3 *V_1 is the subspace of V spanned by t^n, $n \equiv 1$ (4), V_3 the subspace spanned by the t^n, $n \equiv 3$ (4).*

Note that V_1 is spanned by the $[c, d]$ with c even, while V_3 is spanned by the $[c, d]$ with c odd.

Definition 6.4

(1) If i and j are ≥ 0, $s_{i,j} : V \to V'$ is the $Z/2$-linear map taking $[c, d]$ to $\langle 2c + i, 2d + j\rangle$.
(2) $s_{-1,1} : V_3 \to V'$ is the $Z/2$-linear map taking $[c, d]$ to $\langle 2c - 1, 2d + 1\rangle$. (Since $c > 0$ this makes sense.)

Lemma 6.5 *If f is in V_1, then $s_{0,0}$, $s_{1,0}$, $s_{0,1}$ and $s_{2,0}$ take f to $w^{-9}f(w^{10})$, $w^{-7}f(w^{10})$, $w^{-3}f(w^{10})$, $w^{-1}f(w^{10})$.*

Proof We may assume $f = t^n$ with $n \equiv 1$ (4). Write $f = [c, d]$. Arguing as in Lemma 2.3 we find that $[2c, 2d] = t^{4n-3}$, $[2c+1, 2d] = t^{4n-1}$, $[2c, 2d+1] = t^{4n+1}$ and $[2c + 2, 2d] = t^{4n+5}$. (For the last of these, note that c is even.) Applying φ and using Lemma 6.1 we get the result. □

Lemma 6.6 *If f is in V_3, then $s_{-1,1}$, $s_{1,0}$, $s_{0,1}$ and $s_{1,1}$ take f to $w^{-9}f(w^{10})$, $w^{-3}f(w^{10})$, $w^{-7}f(w^{10})$ and $w^{-1}f(w^{10})$.*

Proof We may assume $f = t^n$ with $n \equiv 3$ (4). Write $f = [c, d]$. Since c is odd, $[c, d] = t^2[c-1, d]$. So $[c-1, d] = t^{n-2}$, and $[2c-1, 2d+1]$ is, by Lemma 2.3, just t^{4n-5}. Lemma 2.3 also shows that $[2c+1, 2d] = t^{4n-1}$, $[2c, 2d+1] = t^{4n+1}$,

$[2c+1, 2d+1] = t^{4n+3}$. Now apply φ and use Lemma 6.2. $\square$

Lemma 6.7 *If $n \equiv 1$ (4), β_n and δ_n are in V_1, while α_n and γ_n are in V_3. If $n \equiv 3$ (4), α_n and γ_n are in V_1, while β_n and δ_n are in V_3.*

Proof This is evidently true when n is 1, 3, 5 or 7. In general it follows from the recursion. $\square$

7 Proof of Lemma 5.5

Lemma 7.1 *Lemma 5.5 holds when $k \equiv 21, 23, 27$ or 29 (40).*

Proof We may write k as $10n-9$, $10n-7$, $10n-3$ or $10n-1$ with $n \equiv 3$ (4). Let $t^n = [c, d]$; note that c is odd.

Suppose first $k \equiv 21$ (40). Then $s_{-1,1}(t^n) = \langle 2c-1, 2d+1\rangle$, which by Lemma 6.6 is $w^{-9}(w^{10n}) = w^k$. So we need to show that $P_k = \langle 2c-2, 2d+1\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials. Now $P_k = w^{-3}\alpha_n(w^{10}) + w^{-7}\delta_n(w^{10})$, and Lemma 6.7 tells us that α_n and δ_n are in V_1 and V_3. By Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6, $s_{0,1}(\alpha_n) = w^{-3}\alpha_n(w^{10})$, $s_{0,1}(\delta_n) = w^{-7}\delta_n(w^{10})$. So $P_k = s_{0,1}(\alpha_n) + s_{0,1}(\delta_n)$. Corollaries 3.8 and 3.6 show that $\alpha_n = [c-1, d]$ + a sum of earlier monomials, while δ_n is a sum of monomials preceding $[c-1, d]$. Since the $s_{i,j}$ preserve the linear order on monomials, $s_{0,1}(\alpha_n) + s_{0,1}(\delta_n) = \langle 2c-2, 2d+1\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials, as desired.

Suppose $k \equiv 23$ (40). Then $s_{0,1}(t^n) = \langle 2c, 2d+1\rangle$, which by Lemma 6.6 is $w^{-7}(w^{10n}) = w^k$. So we need to show that $P_k = \langle 2c-1, 2d+1\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials. Now $P_k = w^{-9}\beta_n(w^{10}) + w^{-1}\delta_n(w^{10})$, and Lemma 6.7 tells us that β_n and δ_n are in V_3. By Lemma 6.6, $s_{-1,1}(\beta_n) = w^{-9}\beta_n(w^{10})$, while $s_{1,1}(\delta_n) = w^{-1}\delta_n(w^{10})$. So $P_k = s_{-1,1}(\beta_n) + s_{1,1}(\delta_n)$. By Corollaries 3.4 and 3.6, $\beta_n = [c, d]$ + a sum of earlier monomials, while δ_n is a sum of monomials preceding $[c-1, d]$. So $P_k = \langle 2c-1, 2d+1\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials.

Suppose $k \equiv 27$ (40). Then $s_{1,0}(t^n) = \langle 2c+1, 2d\rangle$, which by Lemma 6.6 is $w^{-3}(w^{10n}) = w^k$. So we need to show that $P_k = \langle 2c, 2d\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials. Now $P_k = w^{-1}\alpha_n(w^{10}) + w^{-9}\gamma_n(w^{10})$, and Lemma 6.7 tells us that α_n and γ_n are in V_1. By Lemma 6.5, $s_{2,0}(\alpha_n) = w^{-1}\alpha_n(w^{10})$, while $s_{0,0}(\gamma_n) = w^{-9}\gamma_n(w^{10})$. So $P_k = s_{2,0}(\alpha_n) + s_{0,0}(\gamma_n)$. By Corollaries 3.8 and 3.2, $\alpha_n = [c-1, d]$ + a sum of earlier monomials, while γ_n is a sum of monomials preceding $[c, d]$. So $P_k = \langle 2c, 2d\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials.

Finally suppose $k \equiv 29$ (40). Then $s_{1,1}(t^n) = \langle 2c+1, 2d+1\rangle$, which by Lemma 6.6 is $w^{-1}(w^{10n}) = w^k$. So we need to show that $P_k = \langle 2c, 2d+1\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials. Now $P_k = w^{-7}\beta_n(w^{10}) + w^{-3}\gamma_n(w^{10})$, and Lemma 6.7 tells us that β_n and γ_n are in V_3 and V_1. By Lemmas 6.6 and 6.5, $s_{0,1}(\beta_n) =$

$w^{-7}\beta_n(w^{10})$, $s_{0,1}(\gamma_n) = w^{-3}\gamma_n(w^{10})$. So $P_k = s_{0,1}(\beta_n) + s_{0,1}(\gamma_n)$. By Corollaries 3.4 and 3.2, $\beta_n = [c,d]$ + a sum of earlier monomials, while γ_n is a sum of monomials preceding $[c,d]$. It follows that $P_k = \langle 2c, 2d+1\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials, completing the proof. $\square$

Lemma 7.2 *Lemma 5.5 holds when $k \equiv 3$ or 9 (40).*

Proof We may write k as $10n-7$ or $10n-1$ with $n \equiv 1$ (4). Let $t^n = [c,d]$; note that c is even.

Suppose $k \equiv 3$ (40). Then $s_{1,0}(t^n) = \langle 2c+1, 2d\rangle$, which by Lemma 6.5 is $w^{-7}w^{10n} = w^k$. So we need to show that $P_k = \langle 2c, 2d\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials. Now $P_k = w^{-9}\beta_n(w^{10}) + w^{-1}\delta_n(w^{10})$, and Lemma 6.7 tells us that β_n and δ_n are in V_1. So by Lemma 6.5, $s_{0,0}(\beta_n) = w^{-9}\beta_n(w^{10})$ while $s_{2,0}(\delta_n) = w^{-1}\delta_n(w^{10})$. So $P_k = s_{0,0}(\beta_n) + s_{2,0}(\delta_n)$. By Corollaries 3.4 and 3.6, $\beta_n = [c,d]$ + a sum of earlier monomials, while δ_n is a sum of monomials preceding $[c-1,d]$. So $P_k = \langle 2c, 2d\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials.

Suppose $k \equiv 9$ (40). Then $s_{2,0}(t^n) = \langle 2c+2, 2d\rangle$, which by Lemma 6.5 is $w^{-1}w^{10n} = w^k$. So we need to show that $P_k = \langle 2c+1, 2d\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials. Now $P_k = w^{-7}\beta_n(w^{10}) + w^{-3}\gamma_n(w^{10})$, and Lemma 6.7 tells us that β_n and γ_n are in V_1 and V_3. By Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6, $s_{1,0}(\beta_n) = w^{-7}\beta_n(w^{10})$ while $s_{1,0}(\gamma_n) = w^{-3}\gamma_n(w^{10})$. So $P_k = s_{1,0}(\beta_n) + s_{1,0}(\gamma_n)$. By Corollaries 3.4 and 3.2, $\beta_n = [c,d]$ + a sum of earlier monomials, while γ_n is a sum of monomials preceding $[c,d]$. So $P_k = \langle 2c+1, 2d\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials, completing the proof. $\square$

Lemma 7.3 *Lemma 5.5 holds when $k \equiv 1$ or 7 (40) and $a > 0$.*

Proof Now $k = 10n-9$ or $10n-3$ with $n \equiv 1$ (4), and $t^n = [c,d]$ with c even.

Suppose $k \equiv 1$ (40). Then $s_{0,0}(t^n) = \langle 2c, 2d\rangle$, which by Lemma 6.5 is $w^{-9}w^{10n} = w^k$. So $c \neq 0$ and we need to show that $P_k = \langle 2c-1, 2d\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials. Now $P_k = w^{-3}\alpha_n(w^{10}) + w^{-7}\delta_n(w^{10})$ and by Lemma 6.7, α_n and δ_n are in V_3 and V_1. Lemmas 6.6 and 6.5 then show that $P_k = s_{1,0}(\alpha_n) + s_{1,0}(\delta_n)$. By Corollaries 3.8 and 3.6, $\alpha_n = [c-1,d]$ + a sum of earlier monomials, while δ_n is a sum of monomials preceding $[c-1,d]$. So $P_k = \langle 2c-1, 2d\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials.

Suppose $k \equiv 7$ (40). Then $s_{0,1}(t^n) = \langle 2c, 2d+1\rangle$, which is $w^{-3}w^{10n} = w^k$. So again $c \neq 0$ and we need to show that $P_k = \langle 2c-1, 2d+1\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials. Now $P_k = w^{-1}\alpha_n(w^{10}) + w^{-9}\gamma_n(w^{10})$, and both α_n and γ_n are in V_3. Lemma 6.6 then shows that $P_k = s_{1,1}(\alpha_n) + s_{-1,1}(\gamma_n)$. By Corollaries 3.8 and 3.2, $\alpha_n = [c-1,d]$ + a sum of earlier monomials, while $\gamma_n =$ a sum of monomials preceding $[c,d]$. So $P_k = \langle 2c-1, 2d+1\rangle$ + a sum of earlier monomials. $\square$

To complete the proof of Lemma 5.5 we need to consider two final cases—when $w^k = \langle 0, b\rangle$ and k is 1 or 7 mod 40.

Suppose $k \equiv 1 \ (40)$. Then $k = 10n - 9$ with $n \equiv 1 \ (4)$, and as we saw in the last lemma, $t^n = [0, d]$ with $b = 2d$. Furthermore, $P_k = s_{1,0}(\alpha_n) + s_{1,0}(\delta_n)$. By Corollaries 3.8 and 3.6, α_n and δ_n are sums of monomials equal to or preceding $[0, d-1]$. Then P_k is a sum of monomials equal to or preceding $\langle 1, 2d-2\rangle$. But $\langle 1, 2d-2\rangle$ precedes $\langle 0, 2d-1\rangle = \langle 0, b-1\rangle$. Next suppose $k \equiv 7 \ (40)$. Then $k = 10n-3$ with $n \equiv 1 \ (4)$, and as we saw in the last lemma, $t^n = [0, d]$ with $b = 2d+1$. Also, $P_k = s_{1,1}(\alpha_n) + s_{-1,1}(\gamma_n)$. By Corollaries 3.8 and 3.2, α_n is a sum of monomials equal to or preceding $[0, d-1]$ while γ_n is a sum of monomials in V_3 preceding $[0, d]$, and consequently equal to or preceding $[1, d-1]$. Thus P_k is a sum of monomials equal to or preceding $\langle 1, 2d-1\rangle$. But $\langle 1, 2d-1\rangle$ precedes $\langle 0, 2d\rangle = \langle 0, b-1\rangle$, so we're done.

References

[1] Gerbelli-Gauthier M. (2016), "The order of nilpotence of Hecke algebras mod 2: a new proof." Research in Number Theory 2:7.

[2] Monsky P. (2015), "A Hecke algebra attached to mod 2 modular forms of level 3." arXiv:1508.07523 [math.NT].

[3] Monsky P. (2016), "A characteristic 2 recurrence related to U_3, with a Hecke algebra application." arXiv:1603.03910 [math.NT].

[4] Monsky P. (2016), "A Hecke algebra attached to mod 2 modular forms of level 5." arXiv:1610.07058 [math.NT].

[5] Monsky P. (2016), "A characteristic 2 recurrence related to U_5, with a Hecke algebra application." arXiv:1612.01599 [math.NT].

[6] Nicolas J.-L., Serre J.-P. (2012), "Formes modulaires modulo 2 : l'ordre de nilpotence des opérateurs de Hecke." C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. 1 350 (7–8) pages 343–348.